

## OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company Proposing Cost of Service and Rates for Gas Transmission and Storage Services for the Period 2015 - 2017.

Application 13-12-012 (Filed December 19, 2013)

And Related Matters.

Investigation 14-06-016

## SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT LATE FILED NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rules 8.3 and 8.4 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission"), the Sacramento Municipal Utilities District ("SMUD") provides this notice of ex parte communication.

On January 13, 2016, William Westerfield, SMUD's counsel, and Thomas Ingwers, SMUD's consultant, met with Rachel Peterson, Chief of Staff to Commissioner Liane M. Randolph, from approximately 2:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. The meeting occurred at the Commission's offices in San Francisco. SMUD requested the meeting. Written material was used and is included with this notice of ex parte communication.

During the meeting with Ms. Peterson, SMUD's representatives discussed SMUD's support for Pacific Gas and Electric Company's ("PG&E") proposal to retain the existing

structure of non-core customer rates for natural gas service, in which customers that connect directly to PG&E's backbone system do not pay for local transmission service.

Mr. Ingwers summarized the history of SMUD's connection of its gas-fired power plants to PG&E's backbone system and explained the status of the various pipelines and contractual arrangements with PG&E. Mr. Ingwers referred to a one-page handout, which is attached to this notice. Mr. Ingwers explained why SMUD opposes Dynegy's and the Northern California Generation Coalition's ("NCGC") proposal to establish a single electric generation rate. Mr. Ingwers discussed the differences between PG&E's backbone system and local transmission system. Mr. Ingwers also explained that Dynegy purchased the Moss Landing power plant after the existing rate design had been implemented and that Dynegy has had the ability under Gas Rule 1 to build a new lateral pipeline from the Moss Landing Units to the PG&E backbone system. Mr. Ingwers explained that SMUD has no objection to offering this choice to the NCGC utilities availing themselves of this option.

In response to the ex parte communications by NCGC, noticed on December 14, 2015, Mr. Westerfield explained that any alleged increase in greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions due to a disparity between the proposed backbone and Local Transmission rates was not raised in this proceeding and not addressed in the record. Mr. Westerfield also explained that regardless of potential changes to the dispatch order in the market operated by the California Independent System Operator Corporation , the impact to the state's GHG emissions inventory would be

immaterial because all covered entities must hold compliance instruments under California's Cap-and-Trade Program.

January 15, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William W. Westerfield, III

William W. Westerfield, III

Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

Sacramento Municipal Utility District

P.O. Box 15830

6301 S Street, Mail Stop A311,

Sacramento, CA 95817

Telephone: (916) 732-7107

Facsimile: (916) 732-6581

Email: william.westerfield@smud.org

cc: Corporate Files (LEG 2016-0033)

## SMUD Gas Transmission Pipeline System Timeline

June-89
1990-1991
October-92
November-92
April-93
November-94
August-95
March-96
June-96
September-96
September-96
March-98
November-02
March-03
December-03
December-04
June-06